

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/576,057	SCHEIBLI ET AL	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	TRI V. NGUYEN	1796	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) TRI V. NGUYEN

(2) Joseph Suhadolnik (app. rep.)

**Date of Interview:** 30 September 2009

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 12

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*all applied*

**Claims discussed:**

*all applied*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*all applied*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*An authorization for an Examiner's Amendment was granted by Applicant's Representative to amend claims 1 and 10 and cancel claims 2-4 and 11 without prejudice to their continued prosecution in a continuation application.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  

/T. V. N./

Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)